

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/439,550 11/12/1999		11/12/1999	CHRISTOPHER T. GRASTEIT	ETAK-07735US	1780
23910	7590	01/26/2006	EXAMINER		INER
FLIESLER		•		COLBER	T, ELLA
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN	CISCO, (CA 94111	3624	· -	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/439,550	GRASTEIT, CHRISTOPHER T.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ella Colbert	3624
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I	
Period for	Reply		
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ariod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2005.	
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
С	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
ispositio	n of Claims		
4)× C	laim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-16</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	- ·•	
5) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, and 6-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
pplication	n Papers		
9)∐ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)∐ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)∐ Ti	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
riority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
· —	All b) Some * c) None of:	hana haan waxabaad	
•	. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No
	 Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior 		
3	application from the International Bureau	•	od in tino Hational Otage
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
		·	
ttachment(s	•	🗖	
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	
) 🔲 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-16 are pending in this communication filed 12/21/05 entered as Amendment, Request for Extension of Time, and RCE. Claim 14 has been amended in this communication.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/21/05 has been entered.

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the following figures have elements missing from the drawing figure: Figure 5, element "radial 510"; and Figure 6, elements "638" and "732".

Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "630" and "640" have both been used to designate radials and reference characters "520" and "620" have both been used to designate

Application/Control Number: 09/439,550

Art Unit: 3624

radials. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Specification

4. The Specification is objected to because in the Specification the following are missing from the descriptions of the drawing figures: Figure 1, elements "1, 2, 4, 100, 110, 120, and 130"; Figure 2A and element "210"; Figure 2B and element "220"; and 2C and elements "230, 250, and 260"; Figure 6, elements "101, 102, 104, and 620"; Figure 9, elements "915" and "955"; and Figure 10, elements "1010" and "1050". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The Specification does not describe all of

Application/Control Number: 09/439,550 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

the figures in regards to the invention and the drawings have character elements missing from the drawings and steps are omitted in the independent claims that are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The independent claims have steps omitted that are considered essential and critical to the understanding of the invention. For example, claim 1, it is unclear who or what is identifying the centroid. Is it the user or is it an application program that is doing the identifying? Who or what is doing the associating of the at least one data item relating to the centroid? Independent claims 3, 6, 12, and 15 have similar problems. Correction and clarification in the claims is respectfully requested in order to better understand Applicants' invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "data item" in line 5. Claims 3 has a similar problem in line 3. Claim 3 also recites the limitation "interpolating positions" in line 6 and recites the limitation "interpolating position" in line 8. Claim 6 recites the limitation "data item" in line 6. Claim 12 recites the limitation "data item" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Inquiries

Art Unit: 3624

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E. Colbert

Primary Examiner January 23, 2006